

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Kinya Washino

Application No.: 10/664,244

Confirmation No.: 3743

Filed: September 17, 2003

Art Unit: 2623

For: HIGH-QUALITY, REDUCED DATA RATE
STREAMING VIDEO PRODUCTION AND
MONITORING SYSTEM

Examiner: J. P. Salce

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed September 12, 2007, Applicant elects Group I, corresponding to Figure 4, with traverse. First, Applicant does not understand how independent claims 1 and 19, both deemed to be generic, can read on both groups. Secondly, claim 10 is not listed in either group, when it should be. In electing Group I, Applicant contends that all pending claims are readable thereon, in that claims 10-18 depend from claim 1, either directly or indirectly, and claims 28-36 depend from claim 19, either directly or indirectly.

Dated: October 12, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 

John G. Posa (Reg. No. 37,424)

GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON
& CITKOWSKI, P.C.

2701 Troy Center Drive, Suite 330

Post Office Box 7021

Troy, Michigan 48007-7021

(734) 913-9300 (734) 913-6007 (Fax)